

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/762,405	LU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Gary Chin	3661	

All Participants:

(1) Gary Chin.

(2) Justin Purcell.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 September 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

3-6, 8-16, 18-22 and 24-28


Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend the above-identified claims as set forth in the examiner's amendment to overcome the minor 112, second paragraph errors as discussed during the telephone interview in order to place the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)